COVID19 Ventilator Decision Aid: *"Life Support at a Time of COVID"*

Development Documentation

3/17/2020 - 10/12/2020

Table of Contents

Development Team	2
Development Process Summary	3
Meeting Summary	4
Version History and Review	7-34
Version 1	7
3/18/20 Review	8
Version 2	14
3/19/20 – 3/20/20 Review	15
Version 3	18
3/2020 Review	19
Version 3 Literacy Testing	23
Version 4	24
3/20/20 – 3/22/20 Review	25
Version 4 Literacy Testing	27
Version 5	28
3/22/20 – 3/25/20 Review	29
Version 6	32
3/26/20 Review	33
Version 7	34
Version 7 Literacy Testing	35
Image Permission	35

Development Team

Leadership

Daniel Matlock, MD, MPH - COVID19 Ventilator Decision Aid Development Leader

Editorial Groups

<u>UCHealth</u> Jean Youngwerth, MD Jean Abbott, MD Abigail Lara, MD Julie Swaney, MDiv Sarguni Singh, MD, FHM

<u>Center for Bioethics and Humanities</u> Matthew Wynia, MD, PhD- UCHealth COVID19 Triage Response Coordinator Eric Campbell, PhD Christine Baugh, PhD Julie Ressalam, MPH, CHES

7 Members of the ACCORDS Patient Panel

Additional Editors Channing Tate, MPH Laura Scherer, PhD Hillary Lum, MD, PhD Christopher Knoepke, PhD, MSW Sarah Perman, MD, MSCE Larry Allen, MD, MS Monica Fitzgerald, BA Jocelvn Thompson, MS Marian Betz, MD, MPH Anuj Mehta, MD Colleen McIlvennan, DNP, ANP Tim Wimbish, MS Elizabeth Harry, MD SFHM Monique McCollum, RN, MPH, CPHQ, MCHES - Health Literacy Manager Allison May, BA – Patient Education Development Assistant UC Health Communication Team

Research Assistant

Rosa Lawrence, BA

Development Process Summary

3/17/2020: Development Process Initiated

-Matthew Wynia introduced the idea of a decision aid for COVID19 ventilator shortages during a meeting with Dan Matlock, Eric Campbell, Christine Baugh, Julie Ressalam, and Rosa Lawrence (see Meeting Summary section for notes) -Daniel Matlock agreed to draft a decision aid based on his experience developing decision aids in the past ex: LVAD decision aid -Daniel Matlock drafted Version 1 of the decision aid

3/18/2020: Version 1 Review

-Version 1 was circulated for edits (Version 1 Review) -Daniel Matlock drafted Version 2 based on feedback on Version 1 (See Record of Comments and Changes)

3/19/2020: Version 2 Review

-Version 2 was circulated for edits

-Daniel Matlock drafted Version 3 based on feedback from Version 2

3/20/2020: Version 3/4 Review

-Version 3 was circulated to:

-Meeting held with Abigail Lara, Jean Youngwerth, Jean Abbott, Julie Swaney, Daniel Matlock, and Rosa Lawrence to coordinate the decision aid development with other -Meeting held with Julie Swaney, Jean Youngwerth, and Daniel Matlock to discuss an ethical framework

COVID19 response efforts at UCHealth (See Meeting Summary).

-Version 4 drafted based on feedback from Version 3

3/23/2020: Dissemination and Implementation Discussion

-Version 5 drafted based on feedback from meeting and edits to Version 4 -Meeting with Jean Youngwerth, Julie Swaney, Daniel Matlock, Abigail Lara, Liz Harry, Sarguni Singh to discuss dissemination and implementation

3/24/2020: Version 5 Iterative Review

-Version 5 circulated and revised iteratively

-Meeting with Matt Wynia, Daniel Matlock, and Rosa Lawrence to discuss dissemination

3/25/2020: Version 6 Review

-Version 6 drafted based on iterative feedback from version 5 -Meeting with Jean Youngwerth, Jean Abbott, Julie Swaney, Abigail Lara, Sarguni Singh, Daniel Matlock, Rosa Lawrence

3/26/2020: Final Version: Version 7

-Version 7 drafted based on feedback from Version 6

Meeting Summary

3/17/20

Present: Matthew Wynia, Daniel Matlock, Eric Campbell, Christine Baugh, Julie Ressalam, Rosa Lawrence Purpose: Initial meeting on COVID19 Ventilator Decision Aid

Wynia- Decision Aids needed for COVID19 Rapid response

-Questions about advanced directives, patient's desires

-Critical care resource triage

-Writing policy for the hospital ex. For needing a ventilator, when they become scarce -Iterative feedback in actual use

-Personal values balanced with resource sharing

Matlock- to frame something out COVID19 Ventilator Decision Aid

Utility of a decision Aid

- 1) Pre-triage discussion, informative, empowering discussions -Talk to family, empowering proxy decision makers
- 2) Voluntary vs. involuntary triage, people can self elect to be taken out of the pool
 - "I want everything" 📀 into triage pool
 - -We may be forced to make tough decisions, we want to get your perspective and voice to be in these decisions

-Consensus view: It is better to have make triage decisions transparent and public, novel to discuss with individual patients

3/20/20

Present: Daniel Matlock, Jean Abbott, Jean Youngwerth, Abigail Lara, Julie Swaney, Rosa Lawrence

Purpose: Coordinate existing UCHealth efforts with decision aid development

Purpose of decision aid: To integrate patients' voices into rationing decisions

Scope- This is being developed because of COVID but if there is a ventilator shortage it will affect everyone on a ventilator

-Cannot treat COVID patients based on a different standard than

-Scripting is helpful in these situations to initiate discussions

-Decision is for a life support machine not Code Status, a supplement to code status discussions

Where does this go in clinical care

Potential situation for use:

1) ED

- 2) After admitted developing respiratory distress
- 3) ICU if they are clinically deteriorating
- 4) Pulmonary clinic/cardiac clinic (chronically ill patient)
- 5) Informing DNR status

-Timing is important- it is difficult to think through this decision when in need of a ventilator

-Importance of integrating with MDPOA conversations

Implementation

-Preamble sheet for staff to read and understand the purpose, attach MDPOA form to integrate discussions

-Involve UCHealth Administration to disseminate

-Distribute anyone admitted at UCHealth- Through ED, free standing EDs, transfers -Normalizes, doesn't discriminate

3/20/20

Present: Jean Youngwerth, Julie Swaney, Daniel Matlock Purpose: Coordinate existing UCHealth efforts with decision aid development

Discussed the ethical framework to prepare people for scarcity scenarios

3/20/20

Present: Jean Youngwerth, Julie Swaney, Daniel Matlock, Abigail Lara, Liz Harry, Sarguni Singh

Purpose: Coordinate existing UCHealth efforts with decision aid development

Steps to finalize the document

-Other stakeholders to involve- contact communications departments -As the document is disseminated there should be an iterative feedback process

Implementation

-The document should be disseminated to vice chairs of the departments once all the necessary components are ready (including finalization of the triage team and process, cover letter, educational materials). The intention is to disseminate the document with enough warning to integrate it into practice before a shortage

-The document will be used with all patients admitted

-Hospitalists and Chaplains need education on how to implement and address questions -Must develop a way to track if the document has been filled out by a patient

3/23/20: Meeting to discuss Dissemination

Present: Jean Youngwerth, Julie Swaney, Daniel Matlock, Abigail Lara, Liz Harry, Sarguni Singh, Rosa Lawrence

Purpose: Discuss Dissemination

Target patient population- distribute to all patients during admission to simplify and avoid singling out certain populations

Staged Approach for dissemination:

-Key components of the document must be finalized before dissemination as well as the infrastructure the document is a part of (Triage Plan)

-Approach Vice Chairs of Clinical Affairs first, who then can give feedback and disseminate to the front lines, then widely distribute

Equip hospitalists and admissions teams to have these discussions

-Turn cover letter into FAQ sheets for patients and physicians

-Develop a tracking method for the document

3/24/20

Present: Matthew Wynia, Daniel Matlock, Rosa Lawrence Purpose: Discuss Dissemination and Implementation

Next Steps:

-Review by UCHealth Leadership

-Distribute the document as a draft to Vice Chairs for Pilot testing

-Prepare the document for public consumption- under the assumption that it will be in the public view once it is sent out

-Develop a flyer and post on an accessible website

3/25/20

Present: Abigail Lara, Julie Swaney, Jean Abbott, Jean Youngwerth, Sarguni Singh, Daniel Matlock, Rosa Lawrence

Final review of the Decision Aid Document

-Discussed feedback from communications department

Implementation

-Clinical Pilot in COVID response teams- elicit feedback from providers and patients

-Contact Vice Chairs

-Continue development of FAQ sheets

-Integrate into COVID workflow

Version History

Version 1 3/18/20

The coronavirus pandemic and life support machines

This is a scary time for everyone. The	Placeholder for picture of someone on a ventilator
country and world are facing a very large number of sick people. Some people are	
getting so sick that they need a life support	
machine (like a ventilator or breathing	
machine – see picture).	
In this very difficult time, we may not have enough life support machines for everyone	
who needs them.	
We want to help as many people as we	
possibly can.	

How do we decide who gets a life support machine and who does not?

We are committed to doing *everything* we can to help you recover.

We may face some challenging choices about which critically ill patients get a life support machine. We have a team of people who are reviewing all cases of people who need life support machines. This team will be making these very tough decisions.

While you may not have a choice, we want to hear your thoughts on this decision?

Our difficult question to you?

Some people in your position may not want a life support machine for lots of different reasons. We want to know your thoughts on this.

If we do not have enough life support machines, would being placed on one be in line with your wishes?

- □ Yes
- n No

For people who do not get a life support machine, our number one priority would be relief of suffering. We have many medicines we can use to help make sure people are comfortable.

What are the next steps?

At a minimum, you should do three very important things right now:

- 1) Decide who would speak for you if you can't speak for yourself. This is called a medical power of attorney.
- 2) Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. This is the most important step.
- 3) Write the name and contact information of this person down and give it to your medical team.

This is a difficult time for everyone. We're all in this together. Regardless of what happens, we will never stop caring for you.

Review 3/18/20

Editors:

Bryan Wallace Channing Tate Laura Scherer Hillary Lum Christopher Knoepke Sarah Perman Larry Allen Monica Fitzgerald Jocelyn Thompson

Comments/Suggestions	Response	Text Changes
Overall Comments		
I wonder about the approach. This feels a little like Soylent Green. A different approach would be to just say that "In the setting of this pandemic which often causes life threatening lung and heart disease, it is all the more important to confirm our care preferences – please make sure you have a living will and have considered things with your family. Go to Hillary Lum's ROADMAP at URL www.ColoradoCarePlanning.org." -Allen	Decision Aid for a ventilator during a shortage seen as an additional conversation that needs to happen in order to incorporate the patient's voice into triage decisions	
Adding historical context- emphasize the historical context and pieces of information that might not be as widely known as we would hope (specifically that ventilator shortages have happened in other parts of the world) – Knoepke	Comment accepted	Added: "We hope this doesn't happen here, but it has already happened in Italy and other places."
Use of a	a discussion guide	
Given the complexities of dealing with these issues, I question whether it is possible to make an ethical tool. I see what you're trying to do and who you're trying to reach, but I worry. Even if we grapple with 1-4, I further worry that this tool will freak out patients and reduce trust. – Scherer	The issue is being honest with people in advance, which might freak people out but it also gives them time to grapple with this before it happens, hopefully. And it allows us to capture some number of altruistic patients who will say, "If I'm going downhill and there's someone else who also really needs the	

	-	
Liability for triage decisions	vent, I would give it up" – that knowledge might be very important if we get the currently- expected deluge of patients all needing critical care Wynia If we are getting close to implementing CSC, the state can authorize these systems and provide legal protections for decisions made in a catastrophic circumstance – asking for that protection is built into our triage guidance under development. It's not iron clad, of course, but it might mitigate this concernWynia	
- this will be offered only to patients- and will not be used in scenarios with a surrogate or POA? <i>If we get to a point where this is</i> <i>necessary</i> - I can see this being very useful in the Emergency Department prior to decompensation or need for emergent intubationPerman	Ongoing discussion throughout development process on the appropriate patient population and implementation for this decision aid	
emergent intubationPerman		O anna a mba m
We need to have a least 1 comprehension check, otherwise people who don't understand the question may mark the wrong thing, and it may have implications for their care. –Scherer	YES-Wynia	Screening Added "Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes? -Yes, I understand and my answer above reflects my wishes -No, I need to ask questions and talk to a doctor before I can be sure"
Assuming we do not want depressive symptoms (e.g., suicidality) to influence patients' answers and clinicians' decision-making ,we need a depression screener A depressed person says that they're willing to not get life	This seems like an ideal, but note that we don't do formal depression screening every time we elicit advance directives	

support. Then the patient dies, and the family sues, arguing that their loved one effectively committed suicide using this tool and would have lived a long, healthy, productive life had it not been for the tool and resultant lack of life supportScherer	now, and I'm not sure we need everyone admitted during an emergency to complete a PHQ9 that seems unrealistic. But what do others think?-Wynia	
	Given that this will be in-the-moment decision making, I don't think we can practically include depression. More important would be an assessment of a	
	decision making capacity. However, that doesn't need to be part of the took, instead it's part of teaching the medical team member how to use this with the	
	appropriate patients Lum	
Influence on	Clinical Decision Making	2
We need to be entirely transparent	YES, it will influence	
about whether or not patients' answers	decisions if we go to	
to the question might impact clinicians'	Crisis Standards of	
decision to give them life support vs.	Care and implement	
not. Will it influence clinician decision-	triage teams. Pt	
making? This was not clear and	preference away from	
patients need to knowScherer	life support will mean	
	they do not get it.	
	they do not get it. BTW, preference FOR	
	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it,	
	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on	
	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages	
	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear	
We need to be entirely transparent	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia	Added explicit mention of
We need to be entirely transparent about whether patients will have the	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia YES, they should	Added explicit mention of the medical team "vour
We need to be entirely transparent about whether patients will have the opportunity to change their answer or	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia	Added explicit mention of the medical team "your medical team wants to
about whether patients will have the	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia YES, they should have this opportunity,	the medical team "your
about whether patients will have the opportunity to change their answer or not. Or (as I've suggested in my edits), we could ask them whether they would	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia YES, they should have this opportunity, and their prior decision should not factor into subsequent	the medical team "your medical team wants to know" "your responses will be considered by your
about whether patients will have the opportunity to change their answer or not. Or (as I've suggested in my edits), we could ask them whether they would like to have the opportunity to change	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia YES, they should have this opportunity, and their prior decision should not factor into subsequent triage decisions	the medical team "your medical team wants to know" "your responses
about whether patients will have the opportunity to change their answer or not. Or (as I've suggested in my edits), we could ask them whether they would like to have the opportunity to change their answer, and use this to assess	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia YES, they should have this opportunity, and their prior decision should not factor into subsequent	the medical team "your medical team wants to know" "your responses will be considered by your
about whether patients will have the opportunity to change their answer or not. Or (as I've suggested in my edits), we could ask them whether they would like to have the opportunity to change	BTW, preference FOR life support might NOT guarantee they get it, depending on resource shortages we need to be clear about that, tooWynia YES, they should have this opportunity, and their prior decision should not factor into subsequent triage decisions	the medical team "your medical team wants to know" "your responses will be considered by your

	I agree in principle, but this may make it too longLum SPECIFIC FEEDBACK	
	lemic and life support n	
It might be helpful to add a sentence describing what a ventilator does? I don't know if you make an informed decision if you don't know what a "breathing machine" doesFitzgerald	Suggestion accepted	Included an image of a ventilator: "like a ventilator or breathing machine – see picture"
Add "We hope this does not happen here but it has already happened in Italy and other places" -Knoepke	Suggestion accepted	"We hope this does not happen here but it has already happened in Italy and other places"
Move "We are committed to doing everything we can to help you recover." To this section -Tate	Suggestion accepted	"We are committed to doing everything we can to help you recover."
How do we decide who gets a	life support machine ar	nd who does not?
I think we need to clarify who is making these decisions not just "people" - Fitzgerald	Suggestion accepted	Added "We have a team of doctors and nurses who are reviewing all cases of people who need life support machines. This team will be making very tough decisions."
Is a ventilator the only form of life support in this scenario? Does this need to be explained more? If I were reading this, I may think that I do want a ventilator but may not want other forms of life support. May be a dumb Q that does not need to be included here, but others lay people may be thinking the same? -Thompson	I have added "machine" after life support for clarity – but please feel free to delete if this is not accurateFitzgerald	
Our difficult question to you?		
Rename section "Your medical team wants to know what you want if you need a life support machine" -Lum	This section was combined with the section above "How do we decide who gets a life support machine and who does not?" The wording suggested by Lum was used to	"While you may not have a choice, <u>your medical</u> <u>team wants to know what</u> <u>you want if you need a life</u> <u>support machine</u> ."

	introduce the question.	
Add " <u>The vast majority of people want</u> <u>life support. However</u> ," before "a few people in your position may <u>not</u> want a life support machine for lots of different reasons." Creating a social norm so that this tool feels less manipulative - Scherer	Comment used but reworded	Rephrased to: "Most people want life support and would say Others may say"
Use the wording "some people in your position" rather than "a few people" - Lum, Thompson, Fitzgerald	Sentence changed, so the quantifier is not "others"	"Other may say"
State reasons people may not want a life support machine. It might help to normalize this decision- and make people feel less confused over what those reasons arePerman	Comment accepted	"Most people want life support and would say 'I would like to have it if I can get it.' Others may say 'I have lived a good life and want others to get the scarce hospital treatments."
In response to "We want your thoughts on this": The way some of this is phrased seems very casual. Do you want to know my thoughts for research purposes? Or do you want to know so that it can be part of my medical care? Needs to be more direct -Thompson	Clarified that this question will inform the medical team	"We want to know your thoughts on this, and your response here will be considered by your medical team."
Rephrase question to say "Are you willing to NOT get life support if there are not enough machines for everyone?" rather than "If we do not have enough life support machines" I do not think it is ethical to ask this question in an indirect way. Patients need to see exactly what you're getting at. This thought drove my edits Scherer	Comment accepted	"Are you willing to NOT get a life support machine if there are not enough machines for everyone?"
I would also add a statement after the above question describing how not using a life machine might help other people because there aren't enough. I don't know if in this DA, we want to just pinpoint someone's desire for their own end-of-life decisions, or if we want to also make people feel good about their decision for helping other people. Sensitive areas. It might help them feel better about making that decision, but I don't want to push/guilt people towards	Not explicitly addressed though the concept is reflected in the question	"Are you willing to NOT get a life support machine if there are not enough machines for everyone?"

making one decision over another Wallace		
If a patient says they do not want to receive life support, but then says they are unsure or want an opportunity to change their answer in the future, then the team should NOT consider their answer to this question when making decisions about who gets an ventilator - Scherer	I agree in principle, but this may make it too long -Lum I'm wondering if instead of a yes or no question here, we have a line that says; "You are able to change your answers to these questions in the future. To change your answers, talk to your doctors and nurses." -Fitzgerald	
What a	re the next steps?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Should we add anything at the end about someone to contact, or more information resources? It feels to me that we end with "we will never stop caring for you," but it might be nice to have a "Please continue to reach out to your medical team with questions to walk through this decision with you." -Wallace, Thompson	Comment accepted	"Please continue to reach out to your medical team with any questions you may have."
 The document has a regrettable flaw near the end. This is the misleading section: Name a medical power of attorney: A "medical power of attorney" is not a person, it is a writing. The surrogate named in such a document is called a "Health care Agent." The correct phrasing at the bottom of the COVID document would be: Name a Health Care Agent: The Agent is the person who speaks for you if you can't speak for yourself. The writing where you identify your Agent is called a Medical Power of Attorney. Make sure you have one, and that your health care team knows about it. Casey Frank 	Comment accepted	Replaced "Name a medical power of attorney section" With "Name a Health Care Agent: - The Agent is the person who speaks for you if you can't speak for yourself. - The writing where you identify your Agent is called a Medical Power of Attorney. - Make sure you have one, and that your health care team knows about it."

Version 2 3/19/20

The coronavirus pandemic and life support machines

This is a scary time, and we haven't seen one like it in our lifetime. The country and world are facing large numbers of very sick people. Some people are getting so sick that they need a life support machine (like a ventilator or breathing machine – see picture).

In this very difficult time, we may not have enough life support machines for everyone who needs them. We hope this doesn't happen here, but it has already happened in Italy and other places.

We want to help as many people as we possibly can. *We are committed to doing everything we can to help you recover.*

Placeholder for picture of someone on a ventilator

How do we decide who gets a life support machine and who does not?

We have a team of doctors and nurses who are reviewing all cases of people who need life support machines. This team will be making very tough decisions.

While you may not have a choice, your medical team wants to know what you want if you need a life support machine. Most people want life support and would say "I would like to have it if I can get it." Others may say "I have lived a good life and want others to get the scarce hospital treatments." We want to know your thoughts on this, and your response here will be considered by your medical team. You may always change your answers to these questions.

Are you willing to NOT get a life support machine if there are not enough machines for everyone?

- □ I am willing to NOT receive a life support machine. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die without a life support machine.
- □ I definitely WANT to receive a life support machine, if the machines are available

Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes?

- □ Yes, I understand and my answer above reflects my wishes
- D No, I need to ask questions and talk to a doctor before I can be sure

For people who do not get a life support machine, our number one priority is always relieving their suffering. We will focus on making sure people are comfortable.

What are the next steps?

Even if you do not know the answers to the above questions, you should do four very important things right now:

- 1) Decide who would speak for you if you can't speak for yourself. This is called a medical power of attorney.
- 2) Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. This is the most important step.
- 3) Write the name and contact information of this person down and share it with your medical team.
- 4) If you have a Colorado MOST form or a living will, please share it with your medical team.

This is a difficult time for everyone. We're all in this together. Whatever happens, we will never stop providing you with the best possible care. Please continue to reach out to your medical team with any questions you may have.

Review 3/19/20-3/20/20

Editors:

Matt Wynia Marian Betz Anuj Mehta Colleen McIlvennan Jeanie Youngwerth

Comments/Suggestions	Response	Text Changes
Overall Comments		
Lots of intubations happening in EDs. General recommendation is intubate early (don't use bipap) so vent- decision team will need to be in ED too I'd think - Betz	Discussed during meeting on 3.20.20 -Potential situation for use: (1)ED, (2) after admitted developing respiratory distress, (3)ICU if they are clinically deteriorating, (4) Pulmonary clinic/cardiac clinic (chronically ill patient), (5) Informing DNR status -Scope- Use in a discussion with anyone admitted at UCHealth including ED, Free standing Eds, Transfers	Changes reflected in Version 4
	N SPECIFIC FEEDBACK	
-	andemic and life support ma	-
One thing I felt is that the document makes it seem like the idea of not having a vent for respiratory failure is a COVID/pandemic situation. The pandemic situation is that not everyone who wants and needs a vent may get one. I think there should be some language that acknowledges that even before the pandemic many people had directives refusing life support machines (DNR/DNRI)Mehta	Extra option added under the question "If you become sick enough to need a life support machine, what would you want?"	Added: "I do NOT want to receive a life support machine, even if the machines are available. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die"
In response to: "Some people are getting so sick that they need a life support machine" - Can we make it clear that this consideration, if applied, would apply to everyone needing a vent, not just those with COVID? -Wynia	Language kept general	Kept language "we may not have enough life support machines for everyone who needs them"

Say "in the US" instead of "here" - McIlvennan How do we decide who gets In response to: "This team will be making very tough decisions" - Do we want to say anything about the criteria that will or will not be used? - Wynia	I see your point but I sort of like 'here', because that makes the document useable overseas, and because it is possible there will be hot spots (Seattle) at the same time that there are other places in the US where triage isn't necessary Wynia I would actually remove the term "here" altogether. While it may be true that certain areas of the country enact crisis standards of care and others do not, the concept of "here" potentially highlights the regional variation which could cause distress -Mehta 5 a life support machine and I don't know if specific criteria should be stated as it could lead the conversation down a rabbit hole. However, given the distrust in the medical system from certain racial/ethnic groups do we want to have a statement that they are making tough decisions based on medical information and that decisions will never be made based on gender, race, or ability to pay - Mehta	Changed: "We hope this doesn't happen here" to "We hope this doesn't happen" I who does not? Added: "This team will be making tough decisions based on medical issues only. Neither race nor money will be part of these decisions."
Wh	at are my options?	
I think we are missing a chance to	Extra option added under	Added: "I do NOT want
normalize the idea of DNR/DNI. Even	the question "If you	to receive a life support
without a crisis, many patients would	become sick enough to	machine, even if the
chose not to receive life support. We	need a life support	machines are available.
may want to highlight that this	machine, what would you	I understand this would
decision is not a new one just	want?"	mean that I am more
because of COVIDMehta		likely to die"
I think you need to have both options	Added description of what	Added: "For people who
before you ask what you would want.	would happen if they opted	do not get a life support
perore you ask what you would want.	would nappen if they opted	do not get a life support

Only asking one option then asking the question seems biased Youngwerth	not to get a life support machine.	machine, our number one priority is always relieving their pain and suffering. We will focus all our efforts on making sure people are comfortable."
I found the wording confusing. The use of a contrapositive "willing to NOT receive" is technically correct but will require a higher literacy than most people have. Also, you may want to have an option that says "I am willing to not receive a life support machine, I already have a DNR/DNI/MOLST/POLST/advanced directive" -Mehta	Question reworded	Replaced: "I am willing to NOT receive a life support machine" with "I do NOT want to receive a life support machine"
In response to: "Are you sure your answer above reflects your wishes?" Not sure this is necessary if you add an "unsure" response option to the first question – making it only 1 question? Or I'm wondering if this was added so that not everyone chooses "unsure" -McIlvennan	I think this is to avoid having an 'unsure' option, and to spark further conversation when it is neededWynia	Kept: "Are you sure that your answers above reflect your wishes?"

Version 3 3/20/20

The coronavirus pandemic and life support machines

This is a scary time, and we haven't seen one like it in over 100 years. The country and world are facing large numbers of very sick people. Some people are getting so sick that they need a life support machine (like a ventilator or breathing machine – see picture).

Because of the current pandemic, we may not have enough life support machines for everyone who needs them. We hope this doesn't happen, but it has already happened in Italy and other places.

We are committed to help as many people as possible.



How do we decide who gets a life support machine and who does not?

We have a team of doctors and nurses who are reviewing all cases of people who need life support machines. This team will be making tough decisions based on medical issues only. Neither race nor money will be part of these decisions.

What are my options?

If there are not life support machines left, you would not have a choice. But, this is an important time to think about what you would want. People often have thoughts about life support machines. Many people say "I would like to have it if I can get it." Others may say, "I have lived a good life and want others to get the scarce hospital treatments."

For people who do not get a life support machine, our number one priority is always relieving their pain and suffering. We will focus all our efforts on making sure people are comfortable.

Your medical team needs to know what you want if you need a life support machine. You may always change your answers to these questions.

If you become sick enough to need a life support machine, what would you want?

- I do NOT want to receive a life support machine, even if the machines are available. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.
- If there are NOT enough life support machines, I do NOT want one. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.
- □ I WANT to receive a life support machine, if the machines are available

Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes?

- □ Yes, I understand and my answer above reflects my wishes
- □ No, I need to ask questions and talk to a doctor before I can be sure

What are the next steps?

Even if you do not know the answers to the above questions, you should do 4 very important things right now:

- 1) Decide who would speak for you if you can't speak for yourself. This is called a medical power of attorney.
- 2) Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. This is the most important step.
- 3) Write the name and contact information of this person down and share it with your medical team.
- 4) If you have a Colorado MOST form or a living will, please share it with your medical team.

This is a difficult time for everyone. We're all in this together. Whatever happens, we will always provide you with the best care we possibly can. Please continue to reach out to your medical team with any questions you may have.

Review 3/20/20

Editors:

7 members of the ACCORDS Patient Panel Jean Abbott Rosa Lawrence

Comments/Suggestions	Response	Text Changes
Overall Co		C
Gravity of the situation: My initial reaction to this tool is one of ethical decision making, immediacy of a drastic and hellish medical situation, and having frightened and sick patients make a life-and-death decisionPatient Panel Need to speak with family: -My immediate reaction was to reject the ventilator. When I spoke with my daughter who is my medical power of attorney, she became concerned. She knows my wishes to live a quality of life that brings me joy and she respects that. <u>Her concern is that IF patients</u> <u>are asked to sign this tool before their health</u> <u>truly declines, they might not get the care they</u> <u>need.</u> -Patient Panel	Feedback incorporated into ongoing discussions about implementation Feedback incorporated into ongoing discussions about implementation, necessary to develop tracking for delivery and completion of	
 I had not thought about this just trying to stay in to stay sage. It is something I need to discuss with my familyPatient Panel Importance of prognosis: -What is the my prognosis? According to some experts if you recover you may of a 20- 30% decrease in lung function. That would be important information for me if I already had a compromised quality of life because of health issuesPatient Panel it would perhaps be helpful to patients to know if the intubation will help them recover, or only survive for a few more hours/daysPatient Panel 	decision aid Feedback included in ongoing discussion about appropriate patient population and implementation process	
Palliative care approach: If you could reframe the wording toward more of a palliative care approach, where you help the patient understand that even with the ventilator you think they are not likely to live (If you can judge that medically), then it becomes more like the decision to "allow a natural death," which is much better language than "Do not resuscitate." In that case, you would	The challenge there is many people might live with the ventilator – the challenge is shortage. That's the difference here from the	

be saying that, in my medical judgement, the ventilator might prolong your life somewhat, but that you would not likely recover I know that the problem you are trying to solve is how to we deal with the scarce resource issue. But at the bedside, from the patient and family perspective, I believe it is better treated as an end of life issue. You could begin to put this into the context of an advanced directive and offer it on admission. However you use it, I would make sure that the statement about relieving pain and suffering is in the blue box section along with the item checked for no ventilatorPatient Panel	advance directive.	
Patient population Is this intended for use with only older patients? Or would you use it with seriously ill young people? I assume the firstBloom If someone has declined nutrition/hydration in any sort of end of life document, does that rule out intubation which includes those things? it might be pretty important to know if a scarce resource both in terms of equipment and personnel is saving a life or delaying the inevitablePatient Panel	No, all pts,. with COVID.	
Implementation of t	the discussion aid	
I don't see the intent of this tool to be used in that manner. However, she asked me not to make a definitive decision at this time because of the hour-by-hour chances being made in this countryPatient Panel	The intent of the tool is to incorporate patients' voices into rationing decisions.	
Are you seeing patients that have appointments? If not how will they get this form? -Patient Panel	Feedback included in ongoing discussion about appropriate implementation process	
Would it be used at the point that a ventilator is needed or in advance of illness or crisis? - Patient Panel	No idea yet. Really needs to be in advance but likely when people are coming to the ED. We've never done this before in this	

	country so don't	
	know.	
SECTION SPECI		
The coronavirus pandemic		achines
Perhaps you don't even need to introduce the shortage issue and have this perceived as rationing. Maybe it's just an end of life decision, for the patient or family. I expect it would be the closest family member, or HCPOA, making the decision in many cases where the person is too sick to make the decision for her/him selfPatient Panel	Unfortunately, that's the whole point. The ethics team wants and needs to be transparent that this could be a rationing issue. AgainI really really hope we never need to use this.	
It seems a little strange to only call out Italy, maybe add to a list of places or delete - Lawrence	Edit accepted	Reworded to: "We hope this doesn't happen, but it has already happened in other places."
How do we decide who gets a life su	ipport machine and	d who does not?
In response to "Neither race nor money will be part of these decisions" This phrasing makes me wonder if other non-medical considerations, like ability to pay or immigration status would affect the decision. It may be better to just say that it will be based on medical considerations onlyLawrence	Edit accepted	Deleted: "Neither race nor money will be part of these decisions"
What are my	y options?	
In response to "I have lived a good life" This seems to emphasize the moral preferability of opting out -Lawrence	Second response reworded, third option added	Reworded to: "Some people say, 'I would like to have a life support machine if one is available.' Others may say, 'I want a live support machine if there is one, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive.' A third group of people sometimes say 'I do not want any kind of life support or breathing machine. If it comes to that, please let me have a natural death.'

You switch from 1st person to 3rd person in second paragraph of "What are my options?" That reads weirdly, but I think it might be right to distance the person from that actuality. Just think about itAbbott After the phrase "always relieve their pain and suffering " add "while they are dying", so people understand clearly that's what's happening -Abbott	Original wording kept Original wording kept	Kept: "For people who do not get life support machines" Kept: "For people who do not get life support machines when needed, our number one priority is always to relieve pain
In response to the question "Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes?": If the answer is no, it might be feasible to have a family member present or some way (written or recorded) to confirm the patient's decision, This could protect the medical profession should the family/public disagree with the fact that patient was not given support. In today's world some proof of the patient's decision might be required – so just verbal between patient and staff is not adequate. I would imagine this already is policy at the hospital - Patient Panel	Feedback included in ongoing discussion about appropriate patient population and implementation process, necessary to develop tracking for delivery and completion of decision aid	and suffering"
Next S		
I really think you should switch 2 & 3 in "next steps." And perhaps be firmer on designating a spokesperson: "Write name and contact information down now so I can" -Abbott	Edit accepted	Next steps now read: "(2) If you do not have a medical power of attorney, decide who would speak for you if you can't speak for yourself. (3) Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. This is the most important step."
"Thank you for the opportunity to work with you in advance based on your personal directive." -Patient Panel	Original ending kept	

Version 3- Literacy Testing

Testing done by Larry Allen

Flesch Reading Ease score: 75.8 (text scale) Flesch Reading Ease scored your text: <u>fairly easy to read.</u>

Gunning Fog: 8.7 (text scale) Gunning Fog scored your text: <u>fairly easy to read.</u>

Flesch-Kincaid Grade Level: 6.2 Grade level: <u>Sixth Grade.</u>

The Coleman-Liau Index: 7 Grade level: <u>Seventh Grade</u>

The SMOG Index: 6.4 Grade level: <u>Sixth Grade</u>

Automated Readability Index: 5.6 Grade level: <u>10-11 yrs. olds (Fifth and Sixth graders)</u>

Linsear Write Formula: 7.1

Version 4 3/20/20

Life Support at a Time of COVID

The coronavirus pandemic and life support machines

This is an unusual time, and we are facing large numbers of very sick people right now. Some people are getting so sick that they need a life support machine (like a ventilator or breathing machine – see picture).

Because of the current pandemic, we may not have enough life support machines for everyone who needs them. We hope this doesn't happen, but it has already happened in other places. Especially at this time, we want to be clear about your values and priorities for your health care.

We are committed to helping as many people as possible.



If there is a shortage, we have a team of doctors and nurses who are reviewing all cases of people who need life support machines. This team will be making tough decisions based on medical issues only.

What are my options?

If there are not life support machines left, you would not have a choice. But this is an important time to think about what you would want. People often have thoughts about life support machines. Some people say, *"I would like to have a life support machine if one is available."* Others may say, *"I want a live support machine if there is one, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive."* A third group of people sometimes say *"I do not want any kind of life support or breathing machine. If it comes to that, please let me have a natural death."*

For people who do not get life support machines when needed, our number one priority is always to relieve pain and suffering. We will focus all our efforts on making sure people are comfortable.

Your health care team and your loved ones need to know what you want if you need a life support machine.

If you become sick enough to need a life support machine, what would you want?

- □ I **WANT** to receive a life support machine, if a machine is available.
- □ I want one **IF IT IS AVAILABLE**, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.
- D I DON'T WANT ONE, even if it is available. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.

Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes?

- □ Yes, I understand and my answer above reflects my wishes
- D No, I need to ask questions and talk to a doctor and my loved ones before I can be sure

What are the next steps?

Even if you do not know the answers to the above questions right now, you should do 4 very important things:

- 1) If you already have one, please provide copies of your medical power of attorney form or other advance directives (like a Colorado MOST form, CPR directive, or medical living will) to your healthcare team.
- 2) If you do not have a medical power of attorney, decide who would speak for you if you can't speak for yourself.
- 3) Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. This is the most important step.
- 4) Complete a medical power of attorney form if we do not have one in your electronic healthcare records

This is a difficult time for everyone. We're all in this together. Whatever happens, we will always provide you with the best care we possibly can. Please continue to reach out to your medical team with any questions you may have.

Review 3/20/20-3/22/20

Editors:

Tim Wimbish Sarguni Singh Jean Youngwerth Jean Abbot Abigail Lara Julie Swaney Elizabeth Harry

Comments/Suggestions	Response	Text Changes
Overa	II Comments	
My biggest concern is that some responses, although accurate, may come off as insensitive or uncompassionate - Wimbish	Format of the conversation with patient discussed during 3/23/20 meeting- document intended to be comprehensible without physician aid, there should be a discussion with the hospitalist or chaplain	Comment addressed in Cover Letter to physician that accompanies document. Normalizing and emotive language used in decision aid.
Always stress the importance that the patient's safety and well-being is our top priority; they don't care about our policy and how it applies to themWimbish It seems like the main information we want to extract is which patients would not want to be intubated in a time of	Comment accepted This is the primary intention of the decision aid	Rephrased end of first section to: "We are committed to giving the best care to people, no matter what"
critical shortage - Singh	n of the discussion aid	
-Staff should be aware of and appropriately offer patients and their loved ones further counseling (Spiritual Care, Palliative Care, etcWimbish -Staff need to know that "Hurting people, hurt people". It might help with the mental health of staff to not take everything too personal. Patients and their loved ones will usually be angry with the situation, and not the staff memberWimbish - Zen rooms available to ALL staff membersWimbish	Implementation, staff support, and education discussed during meeting 3/23/20.	Comments addressed in Cover Letter to physicians and in the implementation process.
Who is going to be responsible for having these discussions with patients? -Singh	The admitting providers would have these discussions	

	1	
	with the patient. I see	
	it as one way to	
	identify those patients	
	who know they don't	
	want life sustaining	
	therapy(s) at all or	
	during time of critical	
	shortage, when	
	admitted to the	
	hospital. I would also	
	recommend using	
	this as a platform to	
	incorporate your code	
	status discussion on	
	admission.	
	-Youngwerth	
	PECIFIC FEEDBACK	
The coronavirus pande	mic and life support m	achines
Replace: "We are committed to helping as	Comment accepted	Rephrased end of first
many people as possible" with "We are		section to: "We are
committed to providing the best care to		committed to giving the
people, no matter what" -Youngwerth		best care to people, no
		matter what"
What a	re my options?	
the checkboxes are confusing to me	I actually like the	Kept checkboxes,
(verses bullet points?); I worry patients	check boxes because	included: "Note: This is
and healthcare team members may think	it more clearly implies	not a legal document"
this is legal document Youngwerth	a decision with trade-	_
	offs. I agree that it	
	risks making it feel	
	more formal or legal	
	but I worry the bullets	
	won't be viewed as	
	the trade-off which I	
	think is important	
	Matlock	

Version 4- Literacy Testing

Testing done by Larry Allen

Flesch Reading Ease score: 77.8 (text scale) Flesch Reading Ease scored your text: <u>fairly easy to read.</u>

Gunning Fog: 8.8 (text scale) Gunning Fog scored your text: <u>fairly easy to read.</u>

Flesch-Kincaid Grade Level: 6.3 Grade level: <u>Sixth Grade.</u>

The Coleman-Liau Index: 7 Grade level: <u>Seventh Grade</u>

The SMOG Index: 6 Grade level: <u>Sixth Grade</u>

Automated Readability Index: 6 Grade level: <u>10-11 yrs. olds (Fifth and Sixth graders)</u>

Linsear Write Formula : 7.8 Grade level: <u>Eighth Grade</u>

Version 5 3/22/20

Life Support at a Time of COVID

The coronavirus pandemic and life support machines

This is an unusual time, and we are facing large numbers of very sick people right now. Some people are getting so sick that they need a life support machine (like a ventilator or breathing machine – see picture).

Because of the current pandemic, we may not have enough life support machines for everyone who needs them. We hope this doesn't happen, but it has already happened in other places. Especially now, we want to be clear about your values and priorities for your health care.

We are committed to giving the best care to people, no matter what.



If there is a shortage, we have a team of doctors and nurses who are reviewing all cases of people who need life support machines. This team will be making tough decisions based on medical issues only.

What are my options?

If there are not life support machines left, you would not have a choice. But this is an important time to think about what you would want. People often have thoughts about life support machines. Some people say, "I would like to have a life support machine if one is available." Others may say, "I want a life support machine if there is one, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive." A third group of people may say, "I do not want any kind of life support or breathing machine. If it comes to that, please let me have a natural death."

For people who do not get life support machines, our number one priority is always to relieve pain and suffering. We will focus our efforts on making sure people are comfortable.

Your health care team and your loved ones need to know what you want if you need a life support machine.

If you become sick enough to need a life support machine, what would you want?

- □ I WANT to receive a life support machine, if a machine is available.
 - □ I want one IF IT IS AVAILABLE, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.
 - D I DON'T WANT ONE, even if it is available. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.

Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes?

- Yes, I understand and my answer above reflects my wishes
- □ No, I need to ask questions and talk to a doctor and my loved ones before I can be sure

Note: This is not a legal document

What are the next steps?

Even if you do not know the answers to the above questions right now, you should do 4 very important things:

- 1) If you already have one, please provide copies of your medical power of attorney form or other advance
- directives (like a Colorado MOST form, CPR directive, or medical living will) to your healthcare team.
- 2) If you do not have a medical power of attorney, decide who would speak for you if you can't speak for yourself.
- 3) Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. *This is the most important step.*

4) Complete a medical power of attorney form if we do not already have one in your computer chart. This is a hard time for everyone. We're all in this together. Whatever happens, we will always provide you with the best care we possibly can. Please continue to reach out to your medical team with any questions you may have.

Review 3/22/20-3/25/20

Editors:

Jean Youngwerth Matthew Wynia Communications Team

Comments/Suggestions	Response	Text Changes	
Overa	II Comments		
Is this intended to be used across UCHealth or just UCH? When would this be implemented—only after the triage plan for scarce resources is implemented? -Anonymous	Discussed in 2/25/20 meeting- Intention is to pilot at UCH then to share across any organizations that could use it		
Reword sentences so they don't say "we" -Anonymous	Suggestions accepted: Wynia suggested edits throughout to remove "we"	"We" removed throughout	
	PECIFIC FEEDBACK		
The coronavirus (COVID) pa			
This is a restatement of the title - Anonymous	Comment accepted	Section title removed	
The statement about being "committed to giving the best care to people, no matter what" can create an issue under the CO Consumer Protection ActAnonymous	Comment accepted	Sentence deleted	
How do we decide who gets a li	fe support machine and	d who does not?	
Reword "How do we decide who gets a life support machine and who does not?" to "How are decisions regarding who gets a life support machine made?" - Anonymous Reword to "How would decisions about who gets a life support machine be made?" -Wynia	Comment accepted	Reworded to: "How would decisions about who gets a life support machine be made?"	
In some cases, other factors may be considered such as the person's ability to help care for others (e.g., healthcare workers)—deleted "only"Anonymous	Comment accepted	Reworded to: "This team will make tough decisions based on the best medical information available."	
	What are my options?		
Perhaps bullet out the section under 'what are my options.' -Communications	Original format kept		
Deleting "breathing" to be consistent in terminology -Anonymous	Comment accepted	Replaced "Life support or breathing machine" with "life support machine'	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Reword "For people who do not get life support machines our number one priority is always to relieve pain and suffering. We will focus our efforts on making sure people are comfortable" to "For people who do not get life support machines, care and treatment will focus on relieving pain and suffering and make patients comfortable" -Anonymous If a patient has a prior executed advanced directive that conflicts with what they say here how will that situation be handled?	Comment accepted Discussed during 3/25/20 meeting. Clinically it is	Reworded to "For people who do not get life support machines, care and treatment will focus on relieving pain and suffering and making sure patients are comfortable."
What if we receive the AD after they've completed this form? It would be better to have the patient complete a MOST form than this checklist.	standard to follow the patients most recent wishes, so conflicts between this form and ADs would be	
There will be legal risks if we follow what the patient tells us if it is not consistent with their advanced directive.	resolved by whichever reflected their most recent wishes	
Who will be obtaining this information from the patient? Will they be qualified to determine whether or not the patient has capacity to make this choice/decision? If not, this wouldn't be valid.		
Are there other negative outcomes than just death (e.g., permanent neurologic damage) that should be noted as well—in a general way—if they choose not to have a life support machine? - Anonymous		
In response to "Note: This is not a legal document" We can't say this is not a legal document but then rely on it later as evidence of what the patient wants Anonymous	Discussed during 3/25/20 meeting. Develop record keeping system for completion of this form	
What are	the next steps?	
Old Version: Complete a medical power of attorney form if we do not already have one in your computer chart. Revised: If you already have one, please give a copy of your medical power of attorney form to your healthcare team. If you do	Section edited to be two steps rather than four	Reworded to: "-Name a medical power of attorney: The medical power of attorney is the person who speaks for you if you can't speak for yourself. If you already have one, please give a copy of your medical

not have one, complete a medical power of attorney now. -Youngwerth		power of attorney form to your healthcare team. If you do not have one, complete a medical power of attorney now. -Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. This is the most important step."
Delete "Whatever happens, we will always provide you with the best care possible" -Anonymous	Comment accepted	Sentence deleted

Version 6 3/25/20

Life Support During the COVID Pandemic

This is an unusual time, with very large numbers of very sick people right now. Some people are getting so sick that they need a life support machine (like a ventilator or breathing machine – see picture).

Because of the current pandemic, there might not be enough life support machines for everyone who needs them. Hopefully, this does not happen.

In this very difficult time, it's really important to be clear about your values and priorities for your health care.



How would decisions about who gets a life support machine be made?

If there is a shortage, a team of doctors and nurses will review all cases of patients who need life support machines. This team will make tough decisions based on the best medical information available. The team will <u>not</u> be given information about patient race, ethnicity, religion, insurance or other irrelevant things.

What are my options?

This is an important time to think about what you would want. People often have thoughts about life support machines. Some people say, "I would like to have a life support machine if one is available." Others may say, "I want a life support machine if there is one, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive." A third group of people may say, "I do not want any kind of life support machine. If it comes to that, please let me have a natural death."

For people who do not get life support machines, care and treatment will focus on relieving pain and suffering and making sure patients are comfortable.

Your health care team and your loved ones need to know what you want if you need a life support machine.

If you become sick enough to need a life support machine, what would you want?

- □ I WANT to receive a life support machine, if a machine is available.
- □ I want one **IF IT IS AVAILABLE**, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.
- D I DON'T WANT ONE, even if it is available. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.

Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes?

- D Yes, I understand and my answer above reflects my wishes
- □ No, I need to ask questions and talk to a doctor and my loved ones before I can be sure

What are the next steps?

Even if you do not know the answers to the above questions right now, you should do one very important thing:

- Name a **medical power of attorney:** The medical power of attorney is the person who speaks for you if you can't speak for yourself. If you already have one, please give a copy of that document to your healthcare team. If you do not have one or we do not have it in your records, complete a medical power of attorney document now.
- Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. *This is the most important step.*

This is a hard time for everyone. We're all in this together. Please continue this conversation with your medical team with any questions you may have.

Information on the development of this document can be found at www.patientdecisionaid.org

Review 3/26/20

Editors

Monique McCollum

Comments/Suggestions	Response	Text Changes
	II Comments	
If the patient makes a decision about life support that differs with their advanced directive (AD), we would need documentation that they had capacity when they made that choice for it to be legally supportable. Also, a MOST form completed by the patient would be the best option if they want to make a change from what's in their AD. I understand that the situation may get worse over time, which would certainly impact what the team can and can't accomplish—I just want to provide you with what the best case scenario would be from a legal standpoint.	Will be discussed during dissemination	
	PECIFIC FEEDBACK	
What ar	e my choices?	
Add bullet points before "some people say" "others may say" "A third group of people may say"	Suggestion accepted	Bullet points added
Reword "Are you sure that your answer above reflects your wishes" to "Are you sure that your answer above says what you really want"	Suggestion accepted	Reworded to "Are you sure that your answer above says what you really want"
	the next steps?	
Add bullet points under before "The medical power of attorney is" "If you already have one""If you do not have one"	Suggestion accepted	Bullet points added
The document has a regrettable flaw near the end. This is the misleading section: Name a medical power of attorney: A "medical power of attorney" is not a person, it is a writing. The surrogate named in such a document is called a "Health care Agent." - Casey Frank	Comment Accepted	Replaced "Name a medical power of attorney section" With "Name a Health Care Agent: - The Agent is the person who speaks for you if you can't speak for yourself. - The writing where you identify your Agent is called a Medical Power of Attorney. - Make sure you have one, and that your

	health care team knows about it."

Version 7 3/26/20

Life Support During the COVID Pandemic

This is an unusual time, with very large numbers of very sick people right now. Some people are getting so sick that they need a life support machine (like a ventilator or breathing machine – see picture).

Because of the current pandemic, there might not be enough life support machines for everyone who needs them. Hopefully, this does not happen.

In this very difficult time, it's really important to be clear about your values and main concerns for your health care.



How would decisions about who gets a life support machine be made?

If there is a shortage, a team of doctors and nurses will review all cases of patients who need life support machines. This team will make tough decisions based on the best medical information available. The team will <u>not</u> be given information about patient race, ethnicity, religion, insurance or other unrelated things.

What are my choices?

You may not have a choice. But this is an important time to think about what you would want. People often have thoughts about life support machines.

- Some people say, "I would like to have a life support machine if there is one."
- Others may say, "I want a life support machine if there is one, but first think of others who may be more likely to survive."
- A third group of people may say, "I do not want any kind of life support or breathing machine. If it comes to that, please let me have a natural death."

For people who do not get life support machines, care and treatment will focus on the relief of pain and suffering. The goal is to make sure patients are comfortable.

Your health care team and your loved ones need to know what you want if you need a life support machine.

If you become sick enough to need a life support machine, what would you want?

- □ I want to be on a life support machine, if a machine is available.
 - □ I want one **if it is available**, but first consider others who may be more likely to survive. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.
 - □ I don't want one, even if it is available. I understand this would mean that I am more likely to die.

Are you sure that your answer above says what you really want?

- Yes, I understand and my answer above says what I really want.
- □ No, I need to ask questions and talk to a doctor and my loved ones before I can be sure.

What are the next steps?

Even if you do not know the answers to the above questions right now, you should do one very important thing:

Name a medical power of attorney:

- \circ $\;$ The medical power of attorney is the person who speaks for you if you can't speak for yourself.
- o If you already have one, please give a copy of that document to your health care team.
- \circ $\;$ If we do not have it in your records, complete a medical power of attorney document now.
- Talk to this person so they know what is truly important to you. This is the most important step.

This is a hard time for everyone. We're all working together. Please continue this conversation with your medical team with any questions you may have.

Information on the development of this document can be found at www.patientdecisionaid.org

Version 7- Literacy Testing

Testing done by Monique McCollum

Fry-based Grade Level: 7 ARI: 5.9 Gunning –Fog Index: 9.0 Precise SMOG Index: 9.3 Flesch-Kincaid Grade: 6.3 Flesch Reading Ease Score 75.5 (Fairly Easy – Grade 6) Coleman-Liau Index: 9.3 FORCAST Readability Grade: 9.1 New Dale-Chall Cloze Score: 39.5 (Grade 5-6)

Image Permission

Image used with permission from David Rini from Johns Hopkins University.

Developed for

